VOTER
INFORMATION
PAMPHLET

COMPILED BY HOLLY L. WOLCOTT, CITY CLERK

★ SPECIAL MUNICIPAL ELECTION ★
TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 6, 2018
For Election Information, please call 1-888-873-1000

The City of Los Angeles provides voter information in English as well as in the following languages:

- العربية
- 中文
- ترکیه‌ای
- डिसी
- エスニック
- फारसی
- हिन्दी
- 日本語
- क्वांग
- 한국어
- русский
- 西班牙语
- ทวีป
- ภาษาไทย

For Election Information, please call 1-888-873-1000

The City of Los Angeles provides voter information in English as well as in the following languages:

- العربية
- 中文
- ترکیه‌ای
- डिसी
- エスニック
- फारसی
- हिन्दी
- 日本語
- क्वांग
- 한국어
- русский
- 西班牙语
- ทวีป
- ภาษาไทย
Pollys open at 7:00 A.M. and close at 8:00 P.M.

Note: This pamphlet contains only information on ballot measures pertaining to the City of Los Angeles. It is not a sample ballot. You will receive your sample ballot with polling place location from the Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder.

Table of Contents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ballot Summary</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ballot Measures, Arguments, and Text</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charter Amendment B</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charter Amendment E</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Charter Amendment EE</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Voter Information

The County of Los Angeles is conducting the November 6, 2018 State General Election.

For information concerning the election, such as polling place locations, please contact the County Registrar-Recorder at (800) 815-2666 or visit their website at [www.lavote.net](http://www.lavote.net).
The next 3 pages contain simplified versions of the City ballot measures. The full text of each measure, along with other information, is printed after the Ballot Summary (see TABLE OF CONTENTS Page).
CITY OF LOS ANGELES CHARTER AMENDMENT B

TITLE:
MUNICIPAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTION

THE ISSUE:
Shall the City Charter be amended to allow the City to establish a municipal financial institution or bank?

THE SITUATION:
Currently, the City Charter does not allow the City to establish a municipal financial institution or bank. Other Federal and State laws may also apply.

THE PROPOSAL:
This measure would amend the Charter to allow the City to potentially form a financial institution or bank.

A YES VOTE MEANS:
You want the City Charter to be amended to allow the City to potentially form a financial institution or bank.

A NO VOTE MEANS:
You do not want the City Charter to be amended to allow the City to potentially form a financial institution or bank.

THE FULL TEXT OF THIS MEASURE BEGINS ON PAGE 14.
TITLE:
REALIGN CITY AND STATE ELECTION DATES.

THE ISSUE:
Shall the City Charter be amended to realign the City’s primary election date with the State’s primary election held in March of even-numbered years, and make other related and technical changes to City election procedures?

THE SITUATION:
In 2015, the voters amended the City Charter to change the City’s election dates beginning in 2020 to June and November of even-numbered years to coincide with the State’s primary and general election dates. This Charter change was made so that the City and State elections could appear on the same ballot. In 2017, the State changed its primary date from June to March of even-numbered years and now the City and State election primary dates are no longer aligned.

THE PROPOSAL:
This measure would realign the City’s primary elections to the same dates as the State’s primary elections. The measure would also authorize the City Council to adjust City election dates to correspond to any future changes in State election dates. This measure would also make other related and technical changes to the City’s election procedures.

A YES VOTE MEANS:
You want to change the City’s primary election date to again align with the State’s primary election and approve other related and technical changes to City election procedures.

A NO VOTE MEANS:
You do not want to change the City’s primary election date to again align with the State’s primary election and do not want to approve other related and technical changes to City election procedures.

THE FULL TEXT OF THIS MEASURE BEGINS ON PAGE 25.
TITLE:
REALIGN LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT (LAUSD) AND STATE ELECTION DATES.

THE ISSUE:
Shall the City Charter be amended to realign the LAUSD Board of Education's primary election date with the State's primary election held in March of even-numbered years, and make other related and technical changes to LAUSD election procedures?

THE SITUATION:
In 2015, the voters amended the City Charter to change the LAUSD’s election dates beginning in 2020 to June and November of even-numbered years to coincide with the State’s primary and general election dates. This Charter change was made so that the LAUSD and State elections could appear on the same ballot. In 2017, the State changed its primary date from June to March of even-numbered years and now the LAUSD and State election primary dates are no longer aligned.

THE PROPOSAL:
This measure would realign the LAUSD’s primary elections to the same dates as the State’s primary elections. The measure would also authorize the City Council to adjust LAUSD election dates to correspond to any future changes in State election dates. This measure would also make other related and technical changes to the LAUSD’s election procedures.

A YES VOTE MEANS:
You want to change the LAUSD’s primary election date to again align with the State’s primary election and approve other related and technical changes to LAUSD election procedures.

A NO VOTE MEANS:
You do not want to change the LAUSD’s primary election date to again align with the State’s primary election and do not want to approve other related and technical changes to LAUSD election procedures.

THE FULL TEXT OF THIS MEASURE BEGINS ON PAGE 41.
MUNICIPAL FINANCIAL INSTITUTION. CHARTER AMENDMENT B.

Shall the City Charter be amended to allow the City to establish a municipal financial institution or bank?

IMPARTIAL SUMMARY
BY SHARON M. TSO, CHIEF LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

Subsection (g) of Section 104, Business Enterprises, of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles currently prohibits the City from forming a municipal financial institution or bank. This measure would amend the Charter to provide the City the ability to establish a municipal financial institution or bank in the future without further voter approval.

Currently, the City is prohibited from engaging in any purely commercial or industrial enterprise except for the following exceptions stated in the Charter:

1) Upon a majority vote of the voters of the City voting on the question; or
2) The enterprise was engaged in by the City at the time the Charter becomes effective; or
3) The enterprise is elsewhere specifically authorized in the Charter.

The measure on the ballot would add a fourth exception to this subsection that would permit an enterprise which “is that of a municipal financial institution or bank established by the City.”

The ballot measure is a permissive action that will provide the City the ability to establish a municipal bank should the City decide to move forward with its formation in the future.

This measure will become effective if approved by a majority of voters.

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT
BY RICHARD H. LLEWELLYN JR., CITY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

This charter amendment authorizes the City to establish a municipal financial institution or bank. The potential costs and revenues resulting from this action are unknown at this time, pending decisions regarding key elements such as operating mission, governance structure and source of capitalization.
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF CHARTER AMENDMENT B

Yes. Charter Amendment B is the first step towards exploring the creation of a socially, economically, and environmentally responsible city-owned bank. The measure is cost-free. It simply removes one barrier to establishing a bank. A sound business plan would follow, to be approved by voters and officials.

Last year the City of Los Angeles paid $170 million in banking fees and $1.1 billion in interest to big banks and investors. At the same time, big banks receive billions of dollars in city deposits virtually interest-free. This money is not serving Angelenos. Some of these banks have engaged in risky profit schemes and predatory lending, ignoring the impact on individuals, neighborhoods and small businesses.

In 2017, the City of Los Angeles divested its funds from Wells Fargo, which was fined billions of dollars for creating illegal customer accounts, has a history of discriminating against Latino and African-American homebuyers, and finances industries harmful to Angelenos. Local community banks are too small to manage the city’s funds, but Wall Street is not the only alternative.

Banking as a public utility is a proven model worldwide. Public banks keep money local and cut costs by eliminating middlemen, shareholders and high-paid executives. During the 2008-09 Recession, the century-old public Bank of North Dakota safeguarded taxpayers’ money and helped finance a statewide economic boom, while Wall Street banks needed billions in taxpayer bailouts.

Charter Amendment B clears a path for Los Angeles to declare its independence from Wall Street. A public bank would safeguard and grow the city’s assets through loans to critical city projects and local businesses. The bank would be answerable not to politicians but to an independent board of governors comprised of residents. Its mission would be to serve Angelenos.

A public bank will ensure that L.A.’s tax dollars are invested in a way that measurably improves the community.

Vote YES on Charter Amendment B.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and are not checked for accuracy by any City agency.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ELLEN BROWN</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>Public Banking Institute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARK GONZALEZ</td>
<td>Chair</td>
<td>Los Angeles County Democratic Party</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TONY GAMBOA</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>United Firefighters of Los Angeles City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CRAIG LALLY</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>Los Angeles Police Protective League</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JOHN J.G. BATISTE</td>
<td>Retired Banker</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAC SHORTY</td>
<td>Vice Chairman</td>
<td>Watts Neighborhood Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAUL KORETZ</td>
<td>Los Angeles City Councilmember</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARC ARMSTRONG</td>
<td>Advisory Board Member</td>
<td>Public Bank LA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARAH BRENNAN</td>
<td>Senior Vice President</td>
<td>Self-Help Federal Credit Union</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JON DEUTSCH</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>Los Feliz Neighborhood Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REBUTTAL TO THE ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF CHARTER AMENDMENT B

The politically appointed commissioners of the Bank of Los Angeles will not be independent. They will be hard pressed to resist funding City Hall’s pet projects. Will they stand up to the politicians who appointed them? No way.

Just look at the “independent” DWP Commissioners who have approved programs, transfers to the City, and massive rate increases that aren’t in the best interest of Ratepayers.

The proponents say the measure is “cost-free.” Baloney.

It will cost millions to develop a comprehensive plan and $1 billion to support $10 billion of City deposits. And what’s the source of this money?

Council President Herb Wesson’s own City Legislative Analyst recommends NO: Significant risks. Exorbitant start-up costs. No deposit insurance. Potential catastrophic impact.

Do you trust City Hall that has no plan? They want a blank check to conduct a research experiment. But we're not lab rats.

Vote NO on Charter Amendment B.

PERSONS SIGNING REBUTTAL TO THE ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF CHARTER AMENDMENT B

JACK HUMPHREVILLE
Neighborhood Council Budget Advocate

JAY HANDAL
Co-Chair
L.A. Budget Advocates

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and are not checked for accuracy by any City agency.
ARGUMENT AGAINST CHARTER AMENDMENT B

Do you believe that City Hall is capable of prudently managing the proposed Bank of Los Angeles which will hold billions of uninsured City deposits? According to a report by the City Council’s own Chief Legislative Analyst (“CLA”), if the Bank were to fail, it would have a “catastrophic” impact on the City.

The City needs our approval to establish a municipally owned bank. Our politicians promise that the Bank will save our City millions in interest and fees and that it will finance low-income and affordable housing, green energy, infrastructure, and student loans; support economic development; bank the pot industry; and serve the underbanked.

Instead, the CLA recommends that the “City develop a coordinated strategy to expand and enhance financial services to City residents and businesses through current programs” given the “significant risks and timeframe associated with forming the Bank.”

The City does not have the required competence to start up and operate a sophisticated, highly leveraged enterprise with a multibillion loan portfolio. Furthermore, given its anticipated $4 billion Structural Deficit over the next four years, our cash strapped City does not have the funds to capitalize the Bank, establish adequate reserves, finance “exorbitant” start-up expenses, and build the necessary back office systems.

The City wants a blank check to spend our money. Like the now bankrupt Los Angeles Community Development Bank, City Hall will treat the Bank as a piggy bank for its pet projects because it does not have the know-how or discipline to run a sophisticated financial institution.

No other major city operates a bank. Do you trust our fiscally irresponsible Mayor and City Council to start and manage one with billions of our money?

The Bank is a weapon of mass financial destruction. Save our City. Vote NO on Charter Amendment B.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and are not checked for accuracy by any City agency.
PERSONS SIGNING ARGUMENT AGAINST CHARTER AMENDMENT B

JACK HUMPHREVILLE
Neighborhood Council Budget Advocate

JAY HANDAL
Co-Chair
L.A. Budget Advocates
REBUTTAL TO THE ARGUMENT AGAINST CHARTER AMENDMENT B

Charter Amendment B is the first step toward controlling our public finances locally, ethically and more efficiently. It does NOT require the city to form a bank. It does NOT spend taxpayer money or authorize public debt. It is NOT a blank check.

Charter Amendment B allows us to join the other 15 cities and states seeking an alternative to irresponsible Wall Street banks and explore a way to double our investment power and save millions by removing the middleman.

Charter Amendment B would create the potential for Los Angeles to establish a financial institution akin to the Bank of North Dakota, the nation’s only publicly-owned bank, which is more profitable than Goldman Sachs and JP Morgan Chase and withstood the 2008 Great Recession.

Charter Amendment B gives the city the unique opportunity to create a responsible lender that does not engage in high-risk financial schemes like large, private banks.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and are not checked for accuracy by any City agency.
PERSONS SIGNING REBUTTAL TO THE ARGUMENT AGAINST CHARTER AMENDMENT B

ELLEN BROWN
Chair
Public Banking Institute

MARK GONZALEZ
Chair
Los Angeles County Democratic Party

TONY GAMBOA
President
United Firefighters of Los Angeles City

CRAIG LALLY
President
Los Angeles Police Protective League

JOHN J.G. BATISTE
Retired Banker

MAC SHORTY
Vice Chairman
Watts Neighborhood Council

PAUL KORETZ
Los Angeles City Councilmember

MARC ARMSTRONG
Advisory Board Member
Public Bank LA

SARAH BRENNAN
Senior Vice President
Self-Help Federal Credit Union

JON DEUTSCH
President
Los Feliz Neighborhood Council
CHARTER AMENDMENT B

Section 1. Subsection (g) of Section 104 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

(g) Business Enterprises. The City shall not engage in any purely commercial or industrial enterprise, except upon a majority vote of the voters of the City voting on the question, unless the enterprise: was engaged in by the City at the time the Charter becomes effective; is that of a municipal financial institution or bank established by the City; or unless engaging in the enterprise is elsewhere specifically authorized in the Charter.
REALIGN CITY AND STATE ELECTION DATES. CHARTER AMENDMENT E.

Shall the City Charter be amended to realign the City’s primary election date with the State’s primary election held in March of even-numbered years, and make other related and technical changes to City election procedures?

IMPARTIAL SUMMARY
BY SHARON M. TSO, CHIEF LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

The Los Angeles City Charter (Charter) establishes election dates for City elections. In 2015, voters amended the Charter to change the City and Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) elections dates from March and May of odd-numbered years to June and November of even-numbered years to coincide with the State elections. This Charter change was made so that the City and State elections could appear on the same ballot. In 2017, the date of the statewide primary was changed and the City and LAUSD election dates are no longer aligned with the State elections.

This measure would amend the Charter relative to the election date for the primary nominating election for the City by making adjustments to the election schedule and other related and technical changes to the Charter’s election provisions.

The proposed measure would make the following changes:

- **New City Election Dates** – the measure would realign the primary nominating election beginning in the year 2020 to the first Tuesday after the first Monday in March of even-numbered years. This election date is the same as the State primary election. As the Charter is currently written, the primary nominating election would be held on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in June. This measure also contains a provision that would authorize the City Council to specify different dates for the primary nominating and general municipal elections to allow for the City elections to continue to be held on the same dates as the State primary nominating and general elections should these dates change in the future.
- **Redistricting Schedule** – the measure would allow the redistricting process to start earlier in order to meet administrative deadlines in advance of the 2022 elections, and every ten years thereafter.
- **Deletion of Language from Previous Election Schedules** – this measure would delete outmoded language relating to previous election schedules.
- **Distribution of Ballot Argument Materials** – this measure would accommodate for alternative methods of distributing ballot argument materials in compliance with the City Election Code for consolidated elections conducted by the County.
• Withdrawal of Initiative Petitions – this measure would provide that regulation of the withdrawal of initiative petitions be in compliance with the City Election Code.

The Charter requires that the City and LAUSD elections be administered together. A separate ballot measure makes similar changes to the Charter in order to comply with the City’s obligation for administering the LAUSD elections. Therefore, both measures contain a contingency clause which would make the effectiveness of each measure dependent upon the passage of the other.

This measure will become effective if approved by a majority of voters, and the ballot measure regarding the LAUSD election dates is also approved.

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT
BY RICHARD H. LLEWELLYN JR., CITY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

The City must amend the City Charter to change the City primary election dates from June to March in order to be able to consolidate elections with State elections as a result of State legislation adopted in 2017. The change in election dates will not have an additional financial impact on the City.

TQ2-E
November 2018
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF CHARTER AMENDMENT E

A government of the people and for the people requires participation BY the people. Elections are the great equalizer, allowing everyone's voice to be heard with the same weight without regard to race, age or gender.

Elections are sacred and allow our democracy to function.

Elections are also expensive--costing taxpayers millions of dollars.

When we hold elections with very low voter turnout, we are wasting money and placing power directly into the hands of special interests -- not the voters.

For as long as anyone can remember, Los Angeles holds elections for local offices like Mayor and City Councilmembers just a few months AFTER we vote for higher profile offices like President and U.S. Senator. The result is a significant drop-off in voter turnout.

That's simply not democracy at its best!

In 2015, we voted to put an end to off-year elections to eliminate unnecessary waste and increase voter participation by aligning our city elections with California statewide elections.

Last year, California moved its 2020 Primary Election from June to March. To ensure that we honor our promise to voters and consolidate city and statewide elections, we must amend our City Charter.

Charter Amendment E will allow for City Council elections to be held in March of 2020, thereby ensuring that future Los Angeles City elections occur at the same time as California statewide elections.

A vote YES on Charter Amendment E reaffirms our commitment to simplifying our election process, improving voter turnout, and preventing a waste of taxpayer dollars -- dollars that could be better spent solving the homeless crisis, building more affordable housing, and investing in our schools, parks, and libraries.

Vote YES for higher voter turnout. Vote YES for better democracy. Vote YES to save millions in taxpayer dollars.

Vote YES on Charter Amendment E.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and are not checked for accuracy by any City agency.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DR. FERNANDO GUERRA</td>
<td>Former Chair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KATHAY FENG</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Los Angeles Municipal</td>
<td>Elections Reform Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>California Common Cause</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STUART WALDMAN</td>
<td>President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DANIEL SCHNUR</td>
<td>Former Chairman</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valley Industry &amp; Commerce Association</td>
<td>State of California Fair Political Practices Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RUSTY HICKS</td>
<td>President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MARIA SALINAS</td>
<td>President &amp; CEO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles County Federation of Labor</td>
<td>Los Angeles Area Chamber of Commerce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATRICIA Berman</td>
<td>President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GEORGE THOMAS</td>
<td>President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Downtown Los Angeles Neighborhood Council</td>
<td>Van Nuys Neighborhood Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TERRENCE GOMES</td>
<td>President</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANTONIA HERNANDEZ</td>
<td>Former Member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Los Angeles Neighborhood Council Coalition</td>
<td>Los Angeles 2020 Commission</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REBUTTAL TO THE ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF CHARTER AMENDMENT E

E and EE would miss the target.

NOVEMBER IS THE TARGET!
MARCH IS WAY OFF TARGET!

The E&EEers say they want higher voter turnout, but apparently not too MUCH turnout! The most voters vote in NOVEMBER. Duh!

THE E&EEers ARE TRYING TO STICK US WITH ELECTIONS THAT CAN END IN MARCH. And winners wouldn’t take office until December — nine months later.

And if the state moves its primary again, Charter Amendments E&EE would NOT ensure city elections are consolidated with statewide elections.

There is a better way, and we should get to vote on it: Instead of having primaries, San Francisco and Oakland have had fairer elections, given voters more choices, and saved big money by having instant runoff elections — with one election day, in November — using ranked choice voting.

Let’s tell the City Council we want and deserve better! It will feel great to vote NO on E and EE!!

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and are not checked for accuracy by any City agency.
PERSONS SIGNING REBUTTAL TO THE ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF
CHARTER AMENDMENT E

DAVID A. HOLTZMAN
Executive Director
Los Angeles Voters For Instant Runoff Elections

SCOTT NASS
Member
Los Angeles Voters For Instant Runoff Elections

STEVE CHESSIN
President
Californians for Electoral Reform

JONATHAN NEUSTADTER

MADELEINE MIMI CHEN-SPRING
Member
Los Angeles Voters For Instant Runoff Elections

LYNN ANN CRANDALL
Member
Los Angeles Voters For Instant Runoff Elections

CORIE BROWN

STEVEN BORNSTEIN

JACK HUMPHREVILLE
Neighborhood Council Budget Advocate

CARYN MORISETTE
Member
Los Angeles Voters For Instant Runoff Elections
ARGUMENT AGAINST CHARTER AMENDMENT E

Voters should always have choices in November!

Normal elections never end before November. Yet city elections often end early, in a misleadingly-named “primary.” Charter Amendment E would make the problem worse, by making the “primary” earlier.

The earlier the “primary,” the shorter the time voters get to learn facts relevant to choosing who should serve in office next — and the longer reelected officials or “lame duck” losers get to be in office without being accountable to voters!

Forcing voters to make final decisions in March 2020 would be like forcing baseball managers to sign players who’ll start in 2021 before the 2020 season has even begun. We, the managers, deserve a chance to see how well the players do in 2020 before we decide about 2021!

LET'S STOP CHARTER AMENDMENT E.

Our NO votes on E will give the City Council a reason to come back to us with a better idea, one that fixes the problem of elections ending early!

And here are better ideas:
A) The “top-two” system used in our state and federal elections. Why is this better? We should always have at least two choices in November!
B) Instant runoff elections in November using Ranked Choice Voting. Why is this better? We don’t need a separate primary election! Plus, RCV lets you vote for who you really want, without fear of wasting your vote.
C) Citywide RCV. This goes further, letting your vote support any candidate in the city, so where you live does not limit who represents you.

BTW, the League of Women Voters of Los Angeles advocates idea B.

One more thing: Charter Amendment E would have people voting in local “primaries” they don’t really care about — fake turnout.

Let's vote NO on E and see if we can get some REAL improvement!

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and are not checked for accuracy by any City agency.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Role</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAVID A. HOLTZMAN</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
<td>Los Angeles Voters For Instant Runoff Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MITCHELL KRAUS</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Los Angeles Voters For Instant Runoff Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADELEINE MIMI CHEN-SPRING</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Los Angeles Voters For Instant Runoff Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANGELA MORISETTE</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Los Angeles Voters For Instant Runoff Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEVE CHESSIN</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>Californians for Electoral Reform</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOMAS SCHILLING</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Los Angeles Voters For Instant Runoff Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JACK HUMPHREVILLE</td>
<td>Neighborhood Council Budget Advocate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STUART JACOBSON</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Los Angeles Voters For Instant Runoff Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CAROLE W. BRADLEY</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Los Angeles Voters For Instant Runoff Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AMELIA MACRAE</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Los Angeles Voters For Instant Runoff Elections</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
REBUTTAL TO THE ARGUMENT AGAINST CHARTER AMENDMENT E

It's common sense: higher voter participation will hold elected officials accountable to the public. Charter Amendment E would shed light on our elections by tripling voter turnout, so that special interests cannot operate in the shadows.

In 2015, 77 percent of voters in the City of Los Angeles agreed that we should consolidate local elections with California statewide elections to increase turnout and streamline the election process. Charter Amendment E fulfills that promise.

Every independent analysis says Charter Amendment E will save money because the City will no longer have to pay the costs of printing, mailing ballots, and hiring poll workers. Moreover, Charter Amendment E will decrease the influence of special interests who have a stake in these elections and prevent them from shaping government policy.

Vote YES to put power back in the hands of voters, not special interests.

Vote YES to save taxpayer dollars needed to fund schools, parks and affordable housing.

Vote YES on Charter Amendment E.

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and are not checked for accuracy by any City agency.
PERSONS SIGNING REBUTTAL TO THE ARGUMENT AGAINST
CHARTER AMENDMENT E

DR. FERNANDO GUERRA
Former Chair
City of Los Angeles Municipal
Elections Reform Commission

KATHAY FENG
Executive Director
California Common Cause

STUART WALDMAN
President
Valley Industry & Commerce
Association

DANIEL SCHNUR
Former Chairman
State of California Fair Political
Practices Commission

RUSTY HICKS
President
Los Angeles County Federation
of Labor

MARIA SALINAS
President & CEO
Los Angeles Area
Chamber of Commerce

PATRICIA BERMAN
President
Downtown Los Angeles
Neighborhood Council

GEORGE THOMAS
President
Van Nuys Neighborhood Council

TERRENCE GOMES
President
Los Angeles Neighborhood Council
Coalition

ANTONIA HERNANDEZ
Former Member
Los Angeles 2020 Commission
CHARTER AMENDMENT E

Section 1. Subsection (c) of Section 204 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

(c) **Redistricting Process.** The Redistricting Commission shall be appointed no later than the date by which the Census Bureau is to release decennial census data. A new Commission shall be appointed to advise the Council prior to each subsequent redistricting. The Commission shall begin the redistricting process at any time after appointment the necessary data are obtained from the most recent Federal Census, but no later than June 1, 2021, and each subsequent tenth anniversary of that date, for redistricting processes occurring after the year 2020. The Commission shall seek public input throughout the redistricting process. The Commission shall present its proposal for redistricting to the Council no later than a date prescribed by ordinance.

The Council shall adopt a redistricting ordinance no later than December 31, 2021, and each subsequent tenth anniversary of that date, for redistricting processes occurring after the year 2020. Nothing in this section shall prohibit the Council from redistricting with greater frequency provided that districts so formed each contain, as nearly as practicable, equal portions of the total population of the City as shown by the Federal Census immediately preceding the formation of districts or based upon other population reports or estimates determined by the Council to be substantially reliable.

Sec. 2. Section 401 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

**Sec. 401. Election Days – City of Los Angeles and Board of Education.**

(a) Until the year 2020, for City offices and elections of the Board of Education, primary nominating elections shall be held on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in March in every odd-numbered year, and general municipal elections shall be held on the third Tuesday in May in every odd-numbered year, except that no such elections will be held in the year 2019 in order to transition to new election dates as provided in subsection (b).

(b) Beginning in the year 2020, for City offices and elections of the Board of Education, primary nominating elections shall be held on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in March in every even-numbered year, and general municipal elections shall be held on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November of every even-numbered year. The Council may, by ordinance, specify different dates for the primary nominating and
general municipal elections so that they may be held on the same dates as the statewide primary and general elections.

(c) If holding the election on the election day set forth above would conflict with a significant event or occurrence, and the Council finds that holding the election on that day would substantially reduce voter participation, the Council may set the election on a specific alternate day not earlier than the previous Tuesday nor later than the subsequent Tuesday from the regularly scheduled election day. The Council may set the alternate day only if it finds that holding the election on such alternate day would not substantially reduce voter participation. Any action setting an alternate election day must be adopted by the Council by resolution no later than six months before the date on which the affected election would otherwise take place.

Sec. 3. Section 409 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 409. Filling Vacancies in the Offices of Mayor, City Attorney, Controller and Member of the City Council.

Vacancies in the offices of Mayor, City Attorney, Controller and members of the City Council shall be filled by appointment or election in the manner set forth in this section.

(a) Appointment. For vacancies occurring prior to the year 2019, the Council may fill a vacancy by appointing a person to hold the office for the portion of the unexpired term remaining through the next June 30 of an odd numbered year. For vacancies occurring in the year 2019 and afterward, the Council may fill a vacancy by appointing a person to hold the office for the portion of the unexpired term remaining until the next second Monday in December of an even-numbered year. If any portion of the term remains after that date, the Council shall also call a special election or elections to fill the remainder of the term, and shall consolidate the election with the primary nominating election and general municipal election next following the appointment. If a vacancy is filled by appointment after the first date fixed by law for filing a Declaration of Intention to become a candidate at the next primary nominating election, the person appointed shall hold the office for the remainder of the unexpired term.

(b) Special Election. The Council may call a special election, and special runoff election, if necessary, by ordinance for the purpose of filling the vacancy for the remainder of the unexpired term. The Council shall provide in the ordinance for the consolidation of the election with any other election and for the procedure for nominating candidates, including the amount of the filing fee, if any, to be paid by candidates and other matters pertaining to the election. The Council also may appoint a person to hold the office temporarily until the vacancy election is conducted and the election results are certified and declared.
(c) **Recall.** Any person appointed or elected to fill a vacancy may be removed from office by the recall in the same manner as if he or she had been elected to office.

Sec. 4. Section 410 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

**Sec. 410. Filling Vacancies in the Office of Members of the Board of Education.**

Vacancies in the office of Members of the Board of Education shall be filled by appointment or election in the manner set forth in this section.

(a) **Appointment.** For vacancies occurring prior to the year 2019, the Board of Education may fill a vacancy by appointing a person to hold the office for the portion of the unexpired term remaining through the next June 30 of an odd numbered year. For vacancies occurring in the year 2019 and afterward, the Board of Education may fill a vacancy by appointing a person to hold the office for the portion of the unexpired term remaining until the next second Monday in December of an even-numbered year. If any portion of the term remains after that date, the Board shall also request contract with the City of Los Angeles to call for the calling and conducting of a special election or elections to fill the remainder of the term, and the Council shall consolidate the election with the primary nominating election and general municipal election next following the appointment. If a vacancy is filled by appointment after the first date fixed by law for filing a Declaration of Intention to become a candidate at the next primary nominating election, the person appointed shall hold the office for the remainder of the unexpired term.

(b) **Special Election.** The Board of Education may request contract with the City of Los Angeles to call for the calling and conducting of a special election or elections for the purpose of filling the vacancy for the remainder of the unexpired term. Within 30 days of the Board’s request, the City Council shall adopt an ordinance calling a special election, and special runoff election, if necessary, for the purpose of filling the vacancy. The Council shall provide in the ordinance for the consolidation of the election with any other election and for the procedure for nominating candidates, including the amount of the filing fee, if any, to be paid by candidates and other matters pertaining to the election. The contract shall be subject to approval by the City Council, and shall contain a provision that the Los Angeles Unified School District shall pay for all costs incurred in conducting the special election or elections. Unless otherwise specified in the contract, within 30 days of the Council’s approval of the contract, the Council shall adopt a resolution calling a special election, and special runoff election, if necessary, for the purpose of filling the vacancy and provide in that ordinance the time for holding the election, whether consolidation with any other scheduled election will be sought, the procedures for nominating candidates, including the amount of the filing fee, if any, to be paid by candidates; and other matters pertaining to the election. The Board of Education also may appoint a person to hold the office temporarily until the vacancy election is conducted and the election results are certified and declared.
(c) **Recall.** Any person appointed or elected to fill a vacancy may be removed from office by the recall in the same manner as if he or she had otherwise been elected to office.

Sec. 5. Section 433 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

**Sec. 433. Supporting and Opposing Arguments.**

Any incumbent of an office whose removal is sought may file with the City Clerk an Argument Opposing the Recall, justifying the incumbent’s course in office. The person filing the recall petition, or the person or organization on whose behalf a recall petition was filed, shall have the right to present to the City Clerk an Argument Supporting the Recall. These Arguments supporting and opposing the recall shall be submitted to the City Clerk and distributed to the voters in compliance with the requirements of the City Election Code with respect to form and time for filing. The costs of printing the Arguments supporting and opposing the recall in the voter information pamphlet shall be paid by the City.

Sec. 6. Subsection (a) of Section 452 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

(a) The proponents of an initiative petition may withdraw the petition in accordance with the procedures of the City Election Code at any time before the Clerk certifies that the petition has qualified for presentation to the Council.

Sec. 7. Section 454 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

**Sec. 454. Supporting and Opposing Arguments.**

Any person or persons filing an initiative petition or the person or organization on whose behalf the petition is filed, shall have the right to file with the City Clerk, within the time specified by ordinance, an argument favoring the proposed ordinance. The Council shall have the right to present, or permit to be presented and filed with the City Clerk within the same limit of time, an argument opposing the ordinance. Arguments supporting and opposing the ordinance proposed by initiative shall be submitted to the City Clerk and distributed to the voters in accordance with the requirements of the City Election Code. All arguments submitted in connection with any particular measure shall be printed in the voter information pamphlet. The costs of printing supporting and opposing arguments shall be paid by the City.
Sec. 8. Subsection (c) of Section 802 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

(c) Redistricting Process. The Redistricting Commission shall be appointed before the date by which the Census Bureau is to release the decennial census data. A new Commission shall be appointed to advise the Council prior to each subsequent redistricting. The Commission shall begin the redistricting process at any time after appointment the necessary data are obtained from the most recent Federal Census, but no later than June 1, 2021, and each subsequent tenth anniversary of that date, for redistricting processes occurring after the year 2020. The Commission shall seek public input throughout the redistricting process. The Commission shall present its proposal for redistricting to the Council no later than a date prescribed by ordinance.

The Council shall adopt a redistricting ordinance no later than December 31, 2021, and each subsequent tenth anniversary of that date, for redistricting processes occurring after the year 2020. Nothing in this section shall prohibit the Council from redistricting with greater frequency provided that districts so formed each contain, as nearly as practicable, equal portions of the total population of the Los Angeles Unified School District as shown by the Federal Census immediately preceding the formation of districts or based upon other population reports or estimates as may be determined by the Council to be substantially reliable.

Sec. 9. Subdivision (1) of Subsection (r) of Section 803 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

(1) In addition to the campaign statements required to be filed pursuant to the Political Reform Act, commencing with Government Code Section 81000, as amended, candidates for the Board of Education, their controlled committees and committees primarily formed to support or oppose these candidates shall file a pre-election statement on the Friday before the election. This statement shall have a closing date of the Wednesday before the election and shall cover activity and payments occurring through that day. Candidates for the Board of Education, their controlled committees and committees primarily formed to support or oppose these candidates shall also file campaign statements as follows in connection with a primary nominating election held in March of an odd-numbered year:

(A) For the period ending September 30 of the year prior to the election, a statement shall be filed no later than October 10, for the period from July 1 through September 30.

(B) For the period ending December 31 of the year prior to the election, a statement shall be filed no later than January 10, of the year of the election for the period from October 1 through December 31.
Sec. 10. The amendments to the Charter proposed and contained in Sections 1 through 9 above shall not become operative unless the separate Charter Amendment EE, similarly changing the election dates for the Los Angeles Unified School District Board of Education, also is approved by the voters of the Los Angeles Unified School District.

Sec. 11. Severability. If any section, subsection, subdivision, clause, sentence, phrase or portion of this measure is held unconstitutional or invalid by any court or tribunal of competent jurisdiction, the remaining sections, subsections, subdivisions, clauses, sentences, phrases or portions of this measure shall remain in full force and effect, and to this end the provisions of this measure are severable. In addition, the voters declare that they would have passed all sections, subsections, subdivisions, clauses, sentences, phrases or portions of this measure without the section, subsection, subdivision, clause, sentence, phrase or portion held unconstitutional or invalid.
REALIGN LOS ANGELES UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT (LAUSD) AND STATE ELECTION DATES. CHARTER AMENDMENT EE.

Shall the City Charter be amended to realign the LAUSD Board of Education’s primary election date with the State’s primary election held in March of even-numbered years, and make other related and technical changes to LAUSD election procedures?

IMPARTIAL SUMMARY
BY SHARON M. TSO, CHIEF LEGISLATIVE ANALYST

The Los Angeles City Charter (Charter) establishes election dates for Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) elections. In 2015, voters amended the Charter to change the City and LAUSD election dates from March and May of odd-numbered years to June and November of even-numbered years to coincide with the State elections. This Charter change was made so that the LAUSD and State elections could appear on the same ballot. In 2017, the date of the statewide primary was changed and the City and LAUSD election dates are no longer aligned with the State elections.

This measure would amend the Charter relative to the election date for the primary nominating election for the LAUSD Board of Education by making adjustments to the election schedule and other related and technical changes to the Charter’s election provisions.

The proposed measure would make the following changes:

• **New LAUSD Primary Election Dates** – the measure would realign the primary nominating election beginning in the year 2020 to the first Tuesday after the first Monday in March of even-numbered years. This election date is the same as the State primary election. As the Charter is currently written, the primary nominating election would be held on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in June. This measure also contains a provision that would authorize the City Council to specify different dates for the primary nominating and general municipal elections to allow for the LAUSD elections to continue to be held on the same dates as the State primary nominating and general elections should these dates change in the future.

• **Redistricting Schedule** – the measure would allow the redistricting process to start earlier in order to meet administrative deadlines in advance of the 2022 elections, and every ten years thereafter.

• **Vacancy Elections** – this measure would clarify the process for the LAUSD to request vacancy elections that may be consolidated with the State elections and conducted by the County.

• **Deletion of Language from Previous Election Schedules** – this measure would delete outmoded language relating to previous election schedules.
Distribution of Ballot Argument Materials – this measure would accommodate for alternative methods of distributing ballot argument materials in compliance with the City Election Code for consolidated elections conducted by the County.

Withdrawal of Initiative Petitions – this measure would provide that regulation of the withdrawal of initiative petitions be in compliance with the City Election Code.

The Charter requires that the LAUSD and City elections be administered together. A separate ballot measure makes similar changes to the Charter for the City elections. Therefore, both measures contain a contingency clause which would make the effectiveness of each measure dependent upon the passage of the other.

This measure will become effective if approved by a majority of the voters of the LAUSD and the ballot measure regarding the City election dates is also approved.

FINANCIAL IMPACT STATEMENT
BY RICHARD H. LLEWELLYN JR., CITY ADMINISTRATIVE OFFICER

The City must amend the City Charter to change the Los Angeles Unified School District (LAUSD) election dates from June to March in order to consolidate elections with the State elections as a result of State legislation adopted in 2017. The change in election dates will not have an additional financial impact on the City.
ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF CHARTER AMENDMENT EE

A government of the people and for the people requires participation BY the people. Elections are the great equalizer, allowing everyone’s voice to be heard with the same weight without regard to race, age or gender.

Elections are sacred and allow our democracy to function.

Elections are also expensive--costing taxpayers millions of dollars.

**When we hold elections with very low voter turnout, we are wasting money and placing power directly into the hands of special interests** -- not the voters.

For as long as anyone can remember, Los Angeles holds elections for local offices like Mayor and City Councilmembers just a few months AFTER we vote for higher profile offices like President and U.S. Senator. The result is a significant drop-off in voter turnout.

That’s simply not democracy at its best!

In 2015, we voted to put an end to off-year elections to eliminate unnecessary waste and increase voter participation by aligning LAUSD Board of Education’s primary election with California statewide elections.

Last year, California moved its 2020 Primary Election from June to March. To ensure that we honor our promise to voters and consolidate LAUSD and statewide elections, we must amend our City Charter.

**Charter Amendment EE will allow for LAUSD’s primary election to be held in March of 2020, thereby ensuring that future LAUSD elections occur at the same time as California statewide elections.**

A vote YES on Charter Amendment EE reaffirms our commitment to simplifying our election process, improving voter turnout, and preventing a waste of taxpayer dollars – dollars that could be better spent solving the homeless crisis, building more affordable housing, and investing in our schools, parks, and libraries.

**Vote YES for higher voter turnout. Vote YES for better democracy. Vote YES to save millions in taxpayer dollars.**

Vote YES on Charter Amendment EE.
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REBUTTAL TO THE ARGUMENT IN FAVOR OF CHARTER AMENDMENT EE

E and EE would miss the target.

NOVEMBER IS THE TARGET!
MARCH IS WAY OFF TARGET!

The E&EEers say they want higher voter turnout, but apparently not too MUCH turnout! The most voters vote in NOVEMBER. Duh!

THE E&EEers ARE TRYING TO STICK US WITH ELECTIONS THAT CAN END IN MARCH. And winners wouldn’t take office until December — nine months later.

And if the state moves its primary again, Charter Amendments E&EE would NOT ensure LAUSD elections are consolidated with statewide elections.

There is a better way, and we should get to vote on it: Instead of having primaries, San Francisco and Oakland have had fairer elections, given voters more choices, and saved big money by having instant runoff elections — with one election day, in November — using ranked choice voting.

Let’s tell the City Council we want and deserve better! It will feel great to vote NO on E and EE!!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DAVID A. HOLTZMAN</td>
<td>Executive Director</td>
<td>Los Angeles Voters ForInstant Runoff Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>THOMAS SCHILLING</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Los Angeles Voters ForInstant Runoff Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LAUREN COLE</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Los Angeles Voters ForInstant Runoff Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GRETCHEH H. JACOBSON</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Los Angeles Voters ForInstant Runoff Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MADELEINE MIMI CHEN-SPRING</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Los Angeles Voters ForInstant Runoff Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOTT NASS</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Los Angeles Voters ForInstant Runoff Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DAVID G. ROLAND</td>
<td>Neighborhood Council</td>
<td>Budget Advocate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JACK HUMPHREVILLE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LYNN ANN CRANDALL</td>
<td>Member</td>
<td>Los Angeles Voters ForInstant Runoff Elections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STEVE CHESSIN</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>Californians for Electoral Reform</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ARGUMENT AGAINST CHARTER AMENDMENT EE

Voters should always have choices in November!

Normal elections never end before November. Yet school district elections often end early, in a misleadingly-named “primary.” Charter Amendment EE would make the problem worse, by making the “primary” earlier.

The earlier the “primary,” the shorter the time voters get to learn facts relevant to choosing who should serve in office next — and the longer reelected officials or “lame duck” losers get to be in office without being accountable to voters!

Forcing voters to make final decisions in March 2020 would be like forcing baseball managers to sign players who’ll start in 2021 before the 2020 season has even begun. We, the managers, deserve a chance to see how well the players do in 2020 before we decide about 2021!

LET’S STOP CHARTER AMENDMENT EE.

Our NO votes on EE will give the City Council a reason to come back to us with a better idea, one that fixes the problem of elections ending early!

And here are better ideas:
A) The “top-two” system used in our state and federal elections. Why is this better? We should always have at least two choices in November!
B) Instant runoff elections in November using Ranked Choice Voting. Why is this better? We don’t need a separate primary election! Plus, RCV lets you vote for who you really want, without fear of wasting your vote.
C) School district-wide RCV. This goes further, letting your vote support any candidate in the school district, so where you live does not limit who represents you.

BTW, the League of Women Voters of Los Angeles advocates idea B.

One more thing: Charter Amendment EE would have people voting in local “primaries” they don’t really care about — fake turnout.

Let’s vote NO on EE and see if we can get some REAL improvement!

Arguments printed on this page are the opinions of the authors and are not checked for accuracy by any City agency.
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REBUTTAL TO THE ARGUMENT AGAINST CHARTER AMENDMENT EE

It's common sense: higher voter participation will hold elected officials accountable to the public. Charter Amendment EE would shed light on our elections by tripling voter turnout, so that special interests cannot operate in the shadows.

In 2015, 77 percent of voters in the LAUSD agreed that we should consolidate local elections with California statewide elections to increase turnout and streamline the election process. Charter Amendment EE fulfills that promise.

Every independent analysis says Charter Amendment EE will save money because the LAUSD will no longer have to pay the costs of printing, mailing ballots, and hiring poll workers. Moreover, Charter Amendment EE will decrease the influence of special interests who have a stake in these elections and prevent them from shaping government policy.

Vote YES to put power back in the hands of voters, not special interests.

Vote YES to save taxpayer dollars needed to fund schools, parks and affordable housing.

Vote YES on Charter Amendment EE.
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CHARTER AMENDMENT EE

Section 1. Section 401 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 401. Election Days – City of Los Angeles and Board of Education.

(a) Until the year 2020, for City offices and elections of the Board of Education, primary nominating elections shall be held on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in March in every odd-numbered year, and general municipal elections shall be held on the third Tuesday in May in every odd-numbered year, except that no such elections will be held in the year 2019 in order to transition to new election dates as provided in subsection (b).

(b) Beginning in the year 2020, for City offices and elections of the Board of Education, primary nominating elections shall be held on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in June in every even-numbered year, and general municipal elections shall be held on the first Tuesday after the first Monday in November of every even-numbered year. The Council may, by ordinance, specify different dates for the primary nominating and general municipal elections so that they may be held on the same dates as the statewide primary and general elections.

(c) If holding the election on the election day set forth above would conflict with a significant event or occurrence, and the Council finds that holding the election on that day would substantially reduce voter participation, the Council may set the election on a specific alternate day not earlier than the previous Tuesday nor later than the subsequent Tuesday from the regularly scheduled election day. The Council may set the alternate day only if it finds that holding the election on such alternate day would not substantially reduce voter participation. Any action setting an alternate election day must be adopted by the Council by resolution no later than six months before the date on which the affected election would otherwise take place.

Sec. 2. Section 410 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 410. Filling Vacancies in the Office of Members of the Board of Education.

Vacancies in the office of Members of the Board of Education shall be filled by appointment or election in the manner set forth in this section.
(a) **Appointment.** For vacancies occurring prior to the year 2019, the Board of Education may fill a vacancy by appointing a person to hold the office for the portion of the unexpired term remaining through the next June 30 of an odd numbered year. For vacancies occurring in the year 2019 and afterward, the Board of Education may fill a vacancy by appointing a person to hold the office for the portion of the unexpired term remaining until the next second Monday in December of an even-numbered year. If any portion of the term remains after that date, the Board shall also request contract with the City of Los Angeles to call for the calling and conducting of a special election or elections to fill the remainder of the term, and the Council shall consolidate the election with the primary nominating election and general municipal election next following the appointment. If a vacancy is filled by appointment after the first date fixed by law for filing a Declaration of Intention to become a candidate at the next primary nominating election, the person appointed shall hold the office for the remainder of the unexpired term.

(b) **Special Election.** The Board of Education may request contract with the City of Los Angeles to call for the calling and conducting of a special election or elections for the purpose of filling the vacancy for the remainder of the unexpired term. Within 30 days of the Board's request, the City Council shall adopt an ordinance calling a special election, and special runoff election, if necessary, for the purpose of filling the vacancy. The Council shall provide in the ordinance for the consolidation of the election with any other election and for the procedure for nominating candidates, including the amount of the filing fee, if any, to be paid by candidates and other matters pertaining to the election. The contract shall be subject to approval by the City Council, and shall contain a provision that the Los Angeles Unified School District shall pay for all costs incurred in conducting the special election or elections. Unless otherwise specified in the contract, within 30 days of the Council's approval of the contract, the Council shall adopt a resolution calling a special election, and special runoff election, if necessary, for the purpose of filling the vacancy and provide in that ordinance the time for holding the election, whether consolidation with any other scheduled election will be sought; the procedures for nominating candidates, including the amount of the filing fee, if any, to be paid by candidates; and other matters pertaining to the election. The Board of Education also may appoint a person to hold the office temporarily until the vacancy election is conducted and the election results are certified and declared.

(c) **Recall.** Any person appointed or elected to fill a vacancy may be removed from office by the recall in the same manner as if he or she had otherwise been elected to office.

Sec. 3. Section 433 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

**Sec. 433. Supporting and Opposing Arguments.**
Any incumbent of an office whose removal is sought may file with the City Clerk an Argument Opposing the Recall, justifying the incumbent's course in office. The person filing the recall petition, or the person or organization on whose behalf a recall petition was filed, shall have the right to present to the City Clerk an Argument Supporting the Recall. These Arguments supporting and opposing the recall shall be submitted to the City Clerk and distributed to the voters in compliance with the requirements of the City Election Code with respect to form and time for filing. The costs of printing the Arguments supporting and opposing the recall in the voter information pamphlet shall be paid by the City.

Sec. 4. Subsection (a) of Section 452 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

(a) The proponents of an initiative petition may withdraw the petition in accordance with the procedures of the City Election Code at any time before the Clerk certifies that the petition has qualified for presentation to the Council.

Sec. 5. Section 454 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

Sec. 454. Supporting and Opposing Arguments.

Any person or persons filing an initiative petition or the person or organization on whose behalf the petition is filed, shall have the right to file with the City Clerk, within the time specified by ordinance, an argument favoring the proposed ordinance. The Council shall have the right to present, or permit to be presented and filed with the City Clerk within the same limit of time, an argument opposing the ordinance. Arguments supporting and opposing the ordinance proposed by initiative shall be submitted to the City Clerk and distributed to the voters in accordance with the requirements of the City Election Code. All arguments submitted in connection with any particular measure shall be printed in the voter information pamphlet. The costs of printing supporting and opposing arguments shall be paid by the City.

Sec. 6. Subsection (c) of Section 802 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

(c) Redistricting Process. The Redistricting Commission shall be appointed before the date by which the Census Bureau is to release the decennial census data. A new Commission shall be appointed to advise the Council prior to each subsequent redistricting. The Commission shall begin the redistricting process at any time after appointment the necessary data are obtained from the most recent Federal Census, but no later than June 1, 2021, and each subsequent tenth anniversary of that date, for redistricting processes occurring after the year 2020. The Commission shall seek public
input throughout the redistricting process. The Commission shall present its proposal for redistricting to the Council no later than a date prescribed by ordinance.

The Council shall adopt a redistricting ordinance no later than December 31, 2021, and each subsequent tenth anniversary of that date, for redistricting processes occurring after the year 2020. Nothing in this section shall prohibit the Council from redistricting with greater frequency provided that districts so formed each contain, as nearly as practicable, equal portions of the total population of the Los Angeles Unified School District as shown by the Federal Census immediately preceding the formation of districts or based upon other population reports or estimates as may be determined by the Council to be substantially reliable.

Sec. 7. Subdivision (1) of Subsection (r) of Section 803 of the Charter of the City of Los Angeles is amended to read as follows:

(1) In addition to the campaign statements required to be filed pursuant to the Political Reform Act, commencing with Government Code Section 81000, as amended, candidates for the Board of Education, their controlled committees and committees primarily formed to support or oppose these candidates shall file a pre-election statement on the Friday before the election. This statement shall have a closing date of the Wednesday before the election and shall cover activity and payments occurring through that day. Candidates for the Board of Education, their controlled committees and committees primarily formed to support or oppose these candidates shall also file campaign statements as follows in connection with a primary nominating election held in March of an odd-numbered year:

(A) For the period ending September 30 of the year prior to the election, a statement shall be filed no later than October 10, for the period from July 1 through September 30.

(B) For the period ending December 31 of the year prior to the election, a statement shall be filed no later than January 10, of the year of the election for the period from October 1 through December 31.

Sec. 8. The amendments to the Charter proposed and contained in Sections 1 through 7 above shall not become operative unless the separate Charter Amendment E, similarly changing the election dates for the City of Los Angeles, also is approved by the voters of the City of Los Angeles.

Sec. 9. Severability. If any section, subsection, subdivision, clause, sentence, phrase or portion of this measure is held unconstitutional or invalid by any court or tribunal of competent jurisdiction, the remaining sections, subsections, subdivisions, clauses, sentences, phrases or portions of this measure shall remain in full force and effect, and to this end the provisions of this
measure are severable. In addition, the voters declare that they would have passed all sections, subsections, subdivisions, clauses, sentences, phrases or portions of this measure without the section, subsection, subdivision, clause, sentence, phrase or portion held unconstitutional or invalid.
Voter Bill of Rights

YOU HAVE THE FOLLOWING RIGHTS

1. The right to vote if you are a registered voter. You are eligible to vote if you are:
   - a U.S. citizen living in California
   - at least 18 years old
   - not currently found mentally incompetent to vote by a court
   - registered where you currently live
   - not currently in state or federal prison or on parole for the conviction of a felony

2. The right to vote if you are a registered voter even if your name is not on the list.
   You will vote using a provisional ballot. Your vote will be counted if elections officials determine that you are eligible to vote.

3. The right to vote if you are still in line when the polls close.

4. The right to cast a secret ballot without anyone bothering you or telling you how to vote.

5. The right to get a new ballot if you have made a mistake, if you have not already cast your ballot. You can:
   - Ask an elections official at a polling place for a new ballot,
   - Exchange your vote-by-mail ballot for a new one at an elections office, or
   - Vote using a provisional ballot.

6. The right to get help casting your ballot from anyone you choose, except from your employer or union representative.

7. The right to drop off your completed vote-by-mail ballot at any polling place in California.

8. The right to get election materials in a language other than English if enough people in your voting precinct speak that language.

9. The right to ask questions to elections officials about election procedures and watch the election process. If the person you ask cannot answer your questions, they must send you to the right person for an answer. If you are disruptive, they can stop answering you.

10. The right to report any illegal or fraudulent election activity to an elections official or the Secretary of State’s office.

If you believe you have been denied any of these rights, call the Secretary of State’s confidential toll-free Voter Hotline at (800) 345-VOTE (8683).

On the web at www.sos.ca.gov
By phone at (800) 345-VOTE (8683)
By email at elections@sos.ca.gov
**Information for Voters with Special Needs**

**Accessibility and other assisted devices**........800-815-2666 (LA County Hotline)

LA County polling places provide for wheelchair accessibility and/or curbside voting. Inside the polling place you may find devices to assist you in your voting experience. For more information, please contact Los Angeles County, the Administrator of the November 6th, 2018 election.

**Audio Recordings** .......................................................... (213) 978-0444

Audio equipment is available at your polling place to assist you.

Audio recordings of the measures included in this booklet are available in English, Armenian, Chinese (Mandarin and Cantonese), Farsi, Hindi, Japanese, Khmer, Korean, Russian, Spanish, Tagalog, Thai, and Vietnamese. These recordings are available on our website: clerk.lacity.org/elections under the “Voters” tab, and at the following locations:

- Braille Institute Library
  741 North Vermont Avenue
  Los Angeles, CA 90029
  (323) 660-3880

- Central Library
  630 West 5th Street
  Los Angeles, CA 90071
  (213) 228-7000

Voters may also request a copy of the audio recordings from our office:
Office of the City Clerk-Election Division
Attn: Audio Recordings
555 Ramirez Street, Space 300
Los Angeles, CA 90012

**TTY Phone Number** ...................................................... (213) 485-2121

A TTY phone number is provided for voters who are hearing impaired.

**Language Assistance** .................................................... (800) 994-8683

The City also provides voting materials in Armenian, Chinese, Farsi, Hindi, Japanese, Khmer, Korean, Russian, Spanish, Tagalog, Thai, and Vietnamese.
**Election Date Reminder**

**Election Day is Tuesday, November 6th**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SUN</th>
<th>MON</th>
<th>TUE</th>
<th>WED</th>
<th>THU</th>
<th>FRI</th>
<th>SAT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Polls open at 7:00 a.m. and close 8:00 p.m.
This pamphlet contains only information on ballot measures pertaining to the City of Los Angeles. It is not a sample ballot. You will receive your sample ballot with polling place location from the Los Angeles County Registrar-Recorder.

Note:
POLLS OPEN AT 7 A.M. AND CLOSE AT 8 P.M.